

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STAT Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 45112.041 FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. RESSETTE 12/07/99 **EXAMINER**

69/455,542

Γ

HM22/0122

WILLEM F GADIANO ESG MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

1651

DATE MAILED: 01/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/455,542 Applicant(s)

DR. HERBERT J. LILLING

Group Art Unit 1651



Office Action Summary	DR. HERBERT J. LILLING	1001	1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 8, 2001</u>			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jair 6, 200 -</u>		sion as to the I	nerits is closed
This action is FINAL .	ept for formal matters,	(IOI) as to the	s, whichever is
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay shortened statutory period for response to this action is named from the mailing date of this communication. Fail populication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	set to expire <u>THREE</u> monacy lure to respond within the period for extensions of time may be obtained	response will ounder the provi	cause the sions of
oplication to become 7 CFR 1.136(a).			ending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s) 6-14		islare withdr	awn from consideration
X; Claim(s) <u>1-14</u>		_ is/are will	s/are allowed.
Of the above, claim(s) 6-14 Claim(s)			s/are rejected.
Claim(s)			is/are objected to.
Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) X: Claim(s) 1-5 Claim(s) X: Claims 1-14	are subje	ect to restriction	or election requiremen
Claim(s)			
The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration The oath or decla	egn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(copies of the priority documents ode/Serial Number)	a)-(d). have been PCT Rule 17.2	
Attachment(s) X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 X Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO- Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO	.1449, Paper No(s). <u>3 14 00</u> Review, PTO-948		
	ON THE FOI LOWING	PAGES	
SEE OF	FICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING		Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/455,542

Art Unit: 1651

- Receipt is acknowledged of the response to the restriction requirement filed 1. January 08, 2001.
- Applicant has elected with traverse Group I, Claims 1-13, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, classified in several classes 520+, numerous subclasses depending upon the ingredients in the compositions and the eugenol species.

Claims 1-5 read on the elected invention.

Claims 6-14 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention and species, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 6 filed January 08, 2001.

The restriction is proper according to the M.P.E.P. The election of species is proper according to the M.P.E.P. Applicant traversed the election species which will be withdrawn if Applicant states on the record that :

the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The restriction and election requirements have been made FINAL absent the above admission of obviousness.

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 09/455,542

Art Unit: 1651

- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of 3. the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
 - The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by the following references:

Kim et al, AN 1998:101607; Ref U

OITA ET AL 1985:427328 Ref V

CAPLUS 1991:115063 Ref W

LUC ET AL 1993:168134 REF X

Each of the references is considered to be within the scope of the broad claimed language in view of the following decision:

It is well settled that if a reference reasonably teaches a product which is identical or substantially identical or are produce by identical or substantially identical process, the PTO can require an applicant to prove that the prior art products do not inherently possess the characteristics Application/Control Number: 09/455,542

Art Unit: 1651

of his claimed product. A rationale given for shifting the burden of going forward to applicant is that the PTO does not possess the facilities to manufacture or to obtain and compare prior art products, see <u>In re Brown</u>, 459 F.2d 531, 535,173 USPQ 685, 688 (CCPA 1972); <u>In re Best</u>, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255,195 USPQ 430, 433-434 (CCPA 1977).

The rejection of the claims will be maintained absent a showing that the compositions of the references are not within the scope of the claimed products. It is acknowledged that the references

do not have the claimed use but a new use for an old composition is not patentable in this particular

application.

No claim is allowed. 5.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier 6.

communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner

Lilling whose telephone number is (703) 308-2034 and fax number

(Art Unit 1651) is (703) 305-7939 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose

Any inquiry of a general telephone number is (703) 308-4743.

nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

308-0196.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit 1651 January 17, 2001 CROUP 1600 ART UNIT HE1

Page 4